# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43922 Amy Nguyen dba Henderson Drive In RN102462249 Docket No. 2012-0748-PST-E

**Order Type:** 

1660 Agreed Order

**Findings Order Justification:** 

N/A

**Media:** 

**PST** 

**Small Business:** 

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Henderson Drive In, 103 Henderson Street, Palacios, Matagorda County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

**Other Significant Matters:** 

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: January 11, 2013

Comments Received: No

### **Penalty Information**

**Total Penalty Assessed:** \$8,881

**Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement:** \$1,776 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

**Total Paid to General Revenue:** \$7,105 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

**SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

**Compliance History Classifications:** 

Person/CN - Average

Site/RN - Average-by-default

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43922 Amy Nguyen dba Henderson Drive In RN102462249 Docket No. 2012-0748-PST-E

# **Investigation Information**

Complaint Date(s): N/A

**Complaint Information:** N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: December 13, 2011 and March 27, 2012

**Date(s) of NOE(s):** March 27, 2012

### **Violation Information**

- 1. Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)].
- 2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 3. Failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a)].
- 4. Failed to maintain the UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)].

# Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

# **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

N/A

# **Technical Requirements:**

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Immediately begin maintaining UST records and ensure that they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.
- b. Within 30 days:
- i. Install a corrosion protection system and test the system; and
- ii. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility and conduct the annual piping tightness test.
- c. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43922 Amy Nguyen dba Henderson Drive In RN102462249 Docket No. 2012-0748-PST-E

# **Litigation Information**

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: N/A

# **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Rajesh Acharya, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 4, MC 128, (512) 239-0577; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-0412

**TCEQ SEP Coordinator**: N/A

Respondent: Amy Nguyen, Director, Henderson Drive In, 103 Henderson Street,

Palacios, Texas 77465

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

	-

#### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Assigned 2-Apr-2012 PCW 9-Apr-2012 Screening 5-Apr-2012 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Amy Nguyen dba Henderson Drive In Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN102462249 Facility/Site Region 12-Houston Major/Minor Source Minor **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 43922 No. of Violations 3 Docket No. 2012-0748-PST-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Thane Barkley EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$8,750 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$0 No adjustment for compliance history. Notes Subtotal 4 \$0 Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Notes The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 \$0 Subtotal 6 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement\* Total EB Amounts \$670 \*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance

Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance

associated with violation no. 2.

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Final Subtotal

Adjustment

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction Adjustment

20.0%

\$8,750

\$8,881

\$8,881

-\$1,776

\$7,105

\$131

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

Notes

**PAYABLE PENALTY** 

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Screening Date 5-Apr-2012

**Docket No.** 2012-0748-PST-E

**Respondent** Amy Nguyen dba Henderson Drive In

Case ID No. 43922

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102462249

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Thane Barkley

Component	ry Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
•	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2
eat Violator (	(Subtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3
npliance Histo	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average P	erformer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7
npliance Histo	ory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	No adjustment for compliance history.		
mpliance Histo Compliance History	ory Summary		3,

Respondent Amy Nayuen due Henderson Drive In Analy Recount of Stagemente 2013 PCVP Revision August 3, 2012 PCVP Revision August 4, 2	Screening Date		PCW
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102462249 Media [Statute] betroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Thane Barieley Violation Number Rule Cite(s)  30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)  Violation Description  Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.  Percent   15.0%  See Penalty   \$25,000  S			
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Thane Barkey Thane Barkey Violation Number Rule Cite(s)  30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)  Violation Description  Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.  Base Penalty  \$25,000  **Percent**    Spain   Spa	F		PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Violation Number Rule Cite(s)  30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)  Violation Description  Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.  Base Penalty \$25,000  **Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.  **Base Penalty \$25,000  **Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation  **Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.  **Base Penalty \$25,000  **Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation  **Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.  **Base Penalty \$25,000  **Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation  **Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.  **Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.  **Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.  **Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.  **Percent 15.0%  **Percent 15.0%  **Percent 0.0%  **Percent 0.0%  **Percent 0.0%  **Percent 0.0%  **Percent 0.0%  **Percent 0.0%  **Adjustment 521,250  **Salvation Adjustment 0.0%  **Salvation Adjustmen			
Violation Description   Falled to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.   Sase Penalty   \$25,000	Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Description   Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.	Violation Number	1	
Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for th	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.34	75(d)
Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Statutory Limit Test   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation   Seconomic Benefit (EB) for th			
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix   Name	Violation Description		age tank
Number of Violation Events   Salary   Weekly		Bas	ie Penalty \$25,000
Number of Violation Events   Salary   Weekly	SS Environmental Proper	y and Human Haalth Matrix	
Percent 15.0%  >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0%  Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.  Adjustment \$21,250  \$33,750  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 1 9 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly weekly monthly 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	>> Environmental, Proper		
Potential X Percent 15.0%  >>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0%  Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.  Adjustment \$21,250  \$3,750  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 1 9 Number of violation days date with an x weekly monthly quarterly semiannual single event 1 9 Number of violation Base Penalty \$3,750  One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 27, 2012 record review to the April 5, 2012 screening date.  Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction 50 Reduction 50 Reduction 50 Reduction 50 Reduction 50 Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Wiolation Subtotal 33,750  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation 50 Statutory Limit Test	_	Major Moderate Minor	
Percent 0.0%  Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.  Adjustment \$21,250  \$3,750  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 1 9 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly x weekly monthly x waterfy semiannual annual single event  One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 27, 2012 record review to the April 5, 2012 screening date.  Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRE/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary NAA (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$3,750  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	1 <u>1</u>	Percent 15.0%	
Faisification Major Moderate Minor  Percent 0.0%  Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.  Adjustment \$21,250  \$3,750  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 1 9 Number of violation days  daily weekly mountly x quarterly semiannual annual single event  One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 27, 2012 record review to the April 5, 2012 screening date.  Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction  Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x)  Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$3,750  Statutory Limit Test			1
Matrix Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.  Adjustment \$21,250  \$3,750  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 9 Number of violation days		Major Medasta Misar	
Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.    Adjustment	Faisincation		
Notes that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.    Adjustment   \$21,250			
Notes that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.  Adjustment \$21,250  \$3,750  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 9 Number of violation days  daily weekly monthly X quartery semiannual single event 9 Si	Matrix Human health	r the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exc	ceed levels
Violation Events  Number of Violation Events    Summark only one with an x   Wiolation Base Penalty   \$3,750			
Violation Events  Number of Violation Events    Summark only one with an x   Wiolation Base Penalty   \$3,750	<u> </u>		
Number of Violation Events    1		Adjustment	\$21,250
Number of Violation Events 1 9 Number of violation days    daily			\$3,750
Number of Violation Events 1 9 Number of violation days    daily	Niclation Events		
Constitution   Cons	Violation Events		
weekly monthly with an x weekly monthly   x   yourterly semiannual annual single event   \$3,750    One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 27, 2012 record review to the April 5, 2012 screening date.  Good Faith Efforts to Comply   0.0%   Reduction   \$0	Number of V	olation Events 1 9 Number of violation	days
Annual single event  One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 27, 2012 record review to the April 5, 2012 screening date.  \$0  Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x)  Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$3,750  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test		weekly monthly x Violation Base	e Penalty \$3,750
Good Faith Efforts to Comply  D.0% Reduction  Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  Extraordinary  Ordinary  N/A  X (mark with x)  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal  \$3,750  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test		annual	
Extraordinary Ordinary N/A  Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal  \$3,750  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test	One monthly e		March 27,
Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$3,750  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation  Statutory Limit Test	Good Faith Efforts to Comp	ly 0.0% Reduction	\$0
Ordinary  N/A  X  (mark with x)  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal  \$3,750  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation			
N/A x (mark with x)  The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.  Violation Subtotal \$3,750  Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test			
Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.    Violation Subtotal   \$3,750			
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test		NOTESII : II	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test		Violation	Subtotal ¢2.750
Estimated FR Amount \$437 Violation Final Denalty Total \$3,806	Economic Benefit (EB) for	his violation Limit	Test :
Facilitated Ex Amount	Estimate	d EB Amount \$437 Violation Final Pena	alty Total \$3,806
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$3,806		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits) \$3,806

ea. Ent. Reference No.	43922	iba Henderson Dr	ive In				
Media	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No.	- <b>1</b> (- 55. 155 - 1145.03)(311)					5.0	Depreciation 15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Vrs	Interest Saved		EB Amount
Item Description							
	110. Commings 91. 4		- 1, 000001 - 600000000000000000000000000000			NONE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	N. INLIEUT PLANE.
Delayed Costs				anes.			
Equipment	\$6,000	13-Dec-2011	27-Dec-2012	1.04	\$21	\$416	\$437
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	Estimated	rost to install a co	rrosion protecti	0.00 l		vstem. The Date Rec	\$0 Duired is the
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated o			on syst		ystem. The Date Rec	
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs		investigation	date and the Fi	on systemal Date	em and test the set is the expected on the expected on the except of the	ystem. The Date Recompliance date.	quired is the
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal		investigation	date and the Fi	on systemal Date	em and test the set is the expected of the expected of the except of the set	ystem. The Date Rec compliance date. for one-time avoid \$0	quired is the
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel		investigation	date and the Fi	on systemal Date	em and test the sign is the expected of the except of the sign is so that is	ystem. The Date Recompliance date.  for one-time avoid  \$0  \$0	quired is the
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel Ispection/Reporting/Sampling		investigation	date and the Fi	enterin 0.00 0.00	em and test the sign is the expected sign item (except sign is so	ystem. The Date Recompliance date.  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	quired is the  so so so so so
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  rspection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/equipment		investigation	date and the Fi	on systemal Date  onterin  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	em and test the sie is the expected so so so so so	ystem. The Date Recompliance date.  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	quired is the   ed costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		investigation	date and the Fi	on systemal Date  onterin  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	em and test the sie is the expected so so so so so so so so	ystem. The Date Recompliance date.  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	quired is the \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		investigation	date and the Fi	on systemal Date  onterin  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	em and test the sie is the expected so	ystem. The Date Recompliance date.  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	quired is the solution solutio
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel respection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		investigation	date and the Fi	on systemal Date  onterin  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	em and test the sie is the expected so so so so so so so so	ystem. The Date Recompliance date.  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	quired is the \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		investigation	date and the Fi	on systemal Date  onterin  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00  0.00	em and test the sie is the expected so	ystem. The Date Recompliance date.  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	quired is the \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Da		PCW
		sion 3 (September 2011)
Case ID N		Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference N		377
	e] Petroleum Storage Tank	9
Enf. Coordinat Violation Num		
Violation Num Rule Cite		7
Kule Cite	26.3475(a) and (c)(1)	1
	2010 17 3(d) drid (G)(1)	
Violation Descript	Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Also, failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test.	
	Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environmental, Pro	erty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	
Relea		
	ual Porcest 15 00/	
Poter	tial x Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matri		
Falsificati		
	Percent 0.0%	
		-
Makely Human ha	alth or the environment will ar sould be expected to pollutants which would exceed levels	
H H	alth or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels be protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	
Notes that a	e proceedive of fluidial fledicit of environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	
		-
	Adjustment \$21,250	]
		\$3,750
		\$3,730
Violation Events		
		•
Number	of Violation Events 1 9 Number of violation days	
	daily	
	weekly	
mark only o	ne quarterly Violation Base Penalty	\$3,750
with an x	semiannual Violation Base Penalty	\$3,730
	annual	
	single event	
	de la companya de la	]
One mont	nly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 27, 2012 record review to the April 5, 2012 screening date.	
	2012 record review to the April 3, 2012 serecting date.	,
Good Faith Efforts to Co		\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	
	N/A x  (mark with x)	
	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
	Notes this violation.	
resident		
Tradelin Paris	Violation Subtotal	\$3,750
		1 - 7 - 7
Economic Benefit (EB)	or this violation Statutory Limit Test	
		12.000
Estir	nated EB Amount \$209 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$3,806
Para Caracana Caracan	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$3,806
		20/000

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	: Amy Nguyen (	lba Henderson Dr	ive In				ores as with a sale of the site
Case ID No.	43922						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102462249	1					
Media	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank					Years of
Violation No.		-				Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
			Final Data			Onetime Costs	EB Amount
		Date Required	rinai Date	TIS	Interest Saveo	Onetime Costs	EB AMOUNT
Item Description	<ul><li>No commas or \$</li></ul>		Robinski skrivate iz				
Delayed Costs	) <u></u>						
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00		\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	ļ			0.00		n/a	\$0
Permit Costs		l		1 0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 I
	\$1.500	13-Dec-2011	27-Dec-2012	1 04	\$78		
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	13-Dec-2011	27-Dec-2012	1.04	\$78	n/a	\$78
, ,		·					\$78
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs		·	JSTs for release	s. The		n/a he investigation dat	\$78
, ,		·	JSTs for release	s. The	Date Required is t	n/a he investigation dat	\$78
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated cos	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release Date is the e	s. The expecte	Date Required is t d compliance date	n/a he investigation dat	\$78 e and the Final
, ,	Estimated cos	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release Date is the e	s. The expecte	Date Required is t d compliance date	n/a he investigation dat	\$78 e and the Final
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	Estimated cos	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release Date is the e	s. The xpecte	Date Required is to do compliance date	n/a he investigation dat . for one-time avoid	\$78 e and the Final
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel	Estimated cos	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release Date is the e	es. The expecte	Date Required is to do compliance date	n/a he investigation dat . for one-time avoic \$0	\$78 e and the Final led costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel	Estimated cos	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release Date is the e	es. The expecte entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Date Required is to dompliance date  ng item (except   \$0	n/a he investigation dat  for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$78 e and the Final solution fed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated cos	IZE [1] avoided	JSTs for release Date is the e costs before	enterii 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Date Required is to dompliance date  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a he investigation dat  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$78 e and the Final ied costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  Inspection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/equipment	Estimated cos	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release Date is the e costs before	expecte enteria 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Date Required is to dompliance date  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$13	n/a he investigation dat  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$118	\$78 e and the Final    Section   Section
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  Inspection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/equipment  Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated cos	IZE [1] avoided	JSTs for release Date is the e costs before	enterii 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Date Required is to dompliance date  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a he investigation dat  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$78 e and the Final ied costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cos	IZE [1] avoided	JSTs for release Date is the e costs before	expecte enteria 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Date Required is to dompliance date  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$13	n/a he investigation dat  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$118	\$78 e and the Final
Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated cos  ANNUAL  \$118	IZE [1] avoided	JSTs for release Date is the e  costs before of	es. The expecte 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	Date Required is to dompliance date  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$13 \$0 \$13 \$0	n/a he investigation dat  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$118	\$78 e and the Final led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$131 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cos  ANNUAL  \$118	IZE [1] avoided  1 13-Dec-2010	JSTs for release Date is the e  costs before  27-Mar-2012  uct the annual	es. The expecte   0.00	Date Required is to dompliance date  ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$13 \$0 \$13 \$0	n/a he investigation dat .  for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$10 \$118 \$0 Date Required is on	\$78 e and the Final led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$131 \$0
Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated cos  ANNUAL  \$118	IZE [1] avoided  1 13-Dec-2010	JSTs for release Date is the e  costs before  27-Mar-2012  uct the annual	es. The expecte   0.00	Date Required is to dompliance date  so	n/a he investigation dat .  for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$10 \$118 \$0 Date Required is on	\$78 e and the Final led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$131 \$0
Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated cos  ANNUAL  \$118	IZE [1] avoided  1 13-Dec-2010	JSTs for release Date is the e  costs before  27-Mar-2012  uct the annual	es. The expecte   0.00	Date Required is to dompliance date  so	n/a he investigation dat .  for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$10 \$118 \$0 Date Required is on	\$78 e and the Final led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$131 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated cos  ANNUAL  \$118	IZE [1] avoided  1 13-Dec-2010	JSTs for release Date is the e  costs before  27-Mar-2012  uct the annual	es. The expecte   0.00	Date Required is to dompliance date  so	n/a he investigation dat .  for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$10 \$118 \$0 Date Required is on	\$78 e and the Final led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$131 \$0

Scre	ening Date	5-Apr-2012	Do	ocket No. 2012-0748-PST-E	PCW
R	espondent	Amy Nguyen dba Hend	erson Drive In		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
	ase ID No.				PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Ref	erence No.	RN102462249			
Media	a [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tan	k		
Enf. C	oordinator	Thane Barkley			
	ition Number				
	Rule Cite(s)		20 Toy Admin	Code § 334.10(b)	
			30 Tex. Admin.	Code 9 334.10(b)	
Violatio	n Description			d make them immediately availa st by agency personnel.	ble for
				Bas	e Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmen	ital, Propei	rty and Human He			
	Release	<b>Har</b> Major Mode			
OR	Actual		1711101		
	Potential			Percent 0.0%	
	· otorical	<u> </u>		0.070	
>>Programmat	tic Matrix Falsification	Major Mode	rate Minor		
1	1 4.0.1144.011	X X	1 1	Percent 5.0%	
Ц		<u> </u>			
Matrix		100% of t	he rule requiremer	nt was not met.	
Notes					1
L	<u> </u>				<del></del>
				Adjustment	\$23,750
					\$1,250
Violation Event	_				
Violation Event	5				
	Number of '	Violation Events 1		9 Number of violation	davs
	riamber of	VIOIGEIOTI EVEITES		J Namber of Violation	44,5
		daily			
		weekly			
		monthly			
	mark only one with an x	quarterly		Violation Bas	e Penalty \$1,250
		semiannual			
		annual			
		single event x			
6					
				and the second second	3
		One s	single event is reco	mmenaea.	7
[					
Good Faith Effo	rte ta Com	nly (	0.0% Reduction		\$0
dood i aidii Liid	n to to com	Before		Settlement Offer	
		Extraordinary			
		Ordinary			
		N/A x	(mark with x)		
		1			
		Notes The Re	•	meet the good faith criteria for	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
		1,500	this	violation.	п
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				Violation	Subtotal \$1,250
	***************************************				
<b>Economic Bene</b>	fit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit	Test
	Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$24	Violation Final Pena	alty Total \$1,269
		Th	is violation Final	Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	or limits) \$1,269

	Company of the Compan	conomic	TO MENT OF THE STATE	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No.	, , ,	dba Henderson Dr	ive in				
Case 10 No. Rea. Ent. Reference No.		9					
CO 🚅 FULTERATORISTICATION OF THE CONTROL OF THE CO	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs	r			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Buildings Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	13-Dec-2011	27-Nov-2012	0.96	\$24	n/a	\$24
Training/Sampling	3300	13 000 2011	27 1101 2012	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs			the expe	cted co	ompliance date.	stigation date and th	
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		]		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
		\$500					

**Compliance History Report** 

Custor	ner/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN602941668	Nguyen, Amy	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.01
Regula	ated Entity:	RN102462249	Henderson Drive In	Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT	Site Rating: 3.01
D Nur	nber(s):	PETROLEUM S REGISTRATION	TORAGE TANK N	REGISTRATION	38900
.ocatic	n:	103 HENDERSO	N ST, PALACIOS, TX, 77465		
TCEQ	Region:	REGION 12 - HO	USTON		
Date C	Compliance History Prepared:	April 04, 2012			
Agenc	y Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement			
Compl	iance Period:	April 04, 2007 to	April 04, 2012		
TCEQ	Staff Member to Contact for Additional Info	rmation Regarding t	his Compliance History		
Name:	Thane Barkley	Ph	one: (512) 239 - 2552	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2. Has 3. If YI	the site been in existence and/or operation there been a (known) change in ownership ES, who is the current owner/operator?	o/operator of the site	during the compliance period' Nguyen, Arny	YES ? YES	
4. IT'	<b>/ES</b> , who was/were the prior owner(s)/open	• •	SWATI Holding Nguyen, Amy		
5. If <b>`</b>	YES, when did the change(s) in owner or op	perator occur?	4/8/2010		
6. R	ating Date: 9/1/2011 Repeat Violator:	NO			
Α.	Final Enforcement Orders, court judgm N/A	nents, and consent d	ecrees of the State of Texas a	nd the federal government.	
В.	Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A	Texas and the fede	ral government.		
C.	Chronic excessive emissions events.				
	N/A				
D.	The approval dates of investigations. (	CCEDS Inv. Track. I	No.)		
	1 03/27/2012 (9904	56)			
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (CON/A	CEDS Inv. Track. No	D.)		
F.	Environmental audits.				
G.	Type of environmental management sy N/A	vstems (EMSs).			
Н.	Voluntary on-site compliance assessm	ent dates.			
	N/A				
l.	Participation in a voluntary pollution rec	duction program.			
	N/A				
J.	Early compliance.				
	N/A				
Sites (	Outside of Texas				
	N/A				

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	8	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING AMY NGUYEN	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
DBA HENDERSON DRIVE IN	§	
RN102462249	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-0748-PST-E

#### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Amy Nguyen dba Henderson Drive In ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 103 Henderson Street in Palacios, Matagorda County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's two underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about April 1, 2012.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Eight Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty-One Dollars (\$8,881) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Seven Thousand One Hundred Five Dollars (\$7,105) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Seven Hundred

Seventy-Six Dollars (\$1,776) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 13, 2011 and a record review conducted on March 27, 2012.
- 2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 13, 2011 and a record review conducted on March 27, 2012.
- 3. Failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 13, 2011 and a record review conducted on March 27, 2012. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test.

Amy Nguyen dba Henderson Drive In DOCKET NO. 2012-0748-PST-E Page 3

4. Failed to maintain the UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on December 13, 2011 and a record review conducted on March 27, 2012.

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Amy Nguyen dba Henderson Drive In, Docket No. 2012-0748-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, begin maintaining UST records and ensure that they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10.
  - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
    - i. Install a corrosion protection system and test the system, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49; and
    - ii. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility and conduct the annual piping tightness test, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50.
  - c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. through 2.b.ii. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Houston Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1486

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

Amy Nguyen dba Henderson Drive In DOCKET NO. 2012-0748-PST-E Page 5

- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

# SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

The state of the s	
For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	2/18/13 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of do agree to the terms and conditions specified the accepting payment for the penalty amount, is mat	the entity indicated below my signature, and I erein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
<ul><li>additional penalties, and/or attorney fees,</li><li>Increased penalties in any future enforcem</li></ul>	may result in: s submitted; eneral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, or to a collection agency; nent actions; cal's Office of any future enforcement actions; y law.
Signature  Angle Glegon  Name (Printed or typed)  Authorized Representative of  Amy Nguyen dba Henderson Drive In	7-10-2012 Date Owner Title
Instrumentiana, Cound the enterinal signed Agreed Order :	with panelty parement to the Financial Administration

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.